

# MATH 202A - Problem Set 8

Walid Krichene (23265217)

October 25, 2012

**(8.1)** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a compact topological space. Then each infinite subset of  $X$  has a limit point.

**proof** Let  $A \subseteq X$  be a subset of  $X$ , and assume that  $A$  does not have a limit point, i.e.  $\forall x \in X$ , there exists an open neighborhood of  $x$ ,  $V_x \subset X$ , such that  $V_x \cap A = \{x\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_x\}_{x \in X}$ . This is an open cover of  $X$ , and since  $X$  is compact,  $\mathcal{V}$  has a finite subcover,  $\{V_{x_i}\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A \cap X \\ &= A \cap (\cup_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}) \\ &= \cup_{i=1}^n (A \cap V_{x_i}) \\ &= \cup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\} \\ &= \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $A$  is finite. This proves the contrapositive of the desired result.

**(8.2)** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and let  $\sim$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$  such that  $R = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \sim y\}$  is closed. Let

$$\begin{aligned} q : X &\rightarrow X / \sim \\ x &\mapsto q(x) \end{aligned}$$

be the quotient map (canonical surjection).

**(8.2.a)** For each  $x \in X$ ,  $q^{-1}(q(x))$  is closed.

**proof**

**Remark 1** If  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two closed subsets of  $X$ , then  $C_1 \times C_2$  is closed in the product topology.

**proof** The complement of  $C_1 \times C_2$  in  $X \times X$  is  $X \times (X \setminus C_2) \cup (X \setminus C_1) \times X$ , which is open as a union of two open sets in the product topology. Therefore  $C_1 \times C_2$  is closed.

**Remark 2** The projection  $p_2$

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 : X \times X &\rightarrow X \\ (x, y) &\mapsto y \end{aligned}$$

is continuous

**proof** If  $O$  is an open subset of  $X$ ,  $p_2^{-1}(O) = X \times O$  which is open in the product topology.

**Remark 3** The projection  $p_2$  is closed.

**proof** Let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X \times X$ . Since  $X$  is compact, the product  $X \times X$  is compact. Thus  $A$  is compact as a closed subset of a compact set. And since  $p_2$  is continuous, we have  $p_2(A)$  is compact. Finally, since  $X$  is Hausdorff, any compact subset of  $X$  is closed, in particular  $p_2(A)$  is closed.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\phi : X &\rightarrow P(X) \\ x &\mapsto q^{-1}(q(x))\end{aligned}$$

We have  $\forall x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned}y \in \phi(x) &\Leftrightarrow q(y) = q(x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow y \sim x \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in R\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in R\} \\ &= p_2(R \cap (X \times \{x\}))\end{aligned}$$

where  $p_2$  is the projection on the second coordinate. We have

- $X \times \{x\}$  is closed since  $X$  is closed,  $\{x\}$  is closed (the space is Hausdorff thus  $T_1$ ) and the product of two closed subsets is closed by Remark 1
- $R \cap (X \times \{x\})$  is closed as the intersection of two closed subsets.
- $p_2$  is closed by Remark 3

Therefore  $\phi(x) = p_2(R \cap (\{x\} \times X))$  is the image of a closed subset by a continuous function, thus is closed.

**(8.2.b)** Let  $U \subseteq X$  be open. Then  $\{x \in X \mid q^{-1}q(x) \subseteq U\}$  is open.

**proof** Let

$$\begin{aligned}\psi : P(X) &\rightarrow P(X) \\ U &\mapsto \psi(U) = \{x \in X \mid \phi(x) \subseteq U\}\end{aligned}$$

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(U)^c &= \{y \in X \mid \phi(y) \not\subseteq U\} \\ &= \{y \in X \mid \phi(y) \cap U^c \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{y \in X \mid \exists x \in U^c : (x, y) \in R\} \\ &= p_2(R \cap (U^c \times X))\end{aligned}$$

We have  $R \cap (U^c \times X)$  is closed since  $R$  is closed by assumption and  $U^c \times X$  is closed by Remark 1. And since  $p_2$  is closed (see 2.a), then  $\psi(U)^c$  is closed, i.e.  $\psi(U)$  is open.

**(8.2.c)** The compact quotient  $X/\sim$  is Hausdorff.

**proof** Note: the quotient is compact since the quotient map is (by definition of the quotient topology) continuous, thus the quotient is the image of a compact space by a continuous function, hence is compact.

We have the following properties of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ :

1.  $\forall x \in X, x \in \phi(x)$ . This is obvious since  $\phi(x)$  is the set of elements that are equivalent to  $x$ .
2.  $\forall U \subseteq X, U \subseteq \phi(U)$ . Follows from property 1.
3.  $\forall U \subseteq X, \psi(U) \subseteq U$ . Indeed,  $\forall x \in \psi(U), \phi(x) \subseteq U$ , and  $x \in \phi(x)$  (property 1), thus  $x \in U$ .
4.  $\forall U \subseteq X, \phi(\psi(U)) = \psi(U)$ . Indeed, we have
  - $\psi(U) \subseteq \phi(\psi(U))$  by property 2
  - now let  $x \in \phi(\psi(U))$ . Then there exists  $y \in \psi(U)$  such that  $q(x) = q(y)$ , thus  $q^{-1}(q(x)) = q^{-1}(q(y))$ . And  $y \in \psi(U)$  is equivalent to  $q^{-1}(q(y)) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $q^{-1}(q(x)) \subseteq U$ , which proves  $x \in \psi(U)$ . This proves the second inclusion  $U \subseteq \psi(U)$ .

Let  $q(x) \neq q(y)$  be two distinct elements of the quotient space  $X/\sim$ , where  $x, y \in X$  are representatives of  $q(x), q(y)$  respectively. By (8.2.a), the subsets  $\phi(x) = q^{-1}(q(x))$  and  $\phi(y) = q^{-1}(q(y))$  are closed. They are also disjoint since  $q(x) \neq q(y)$ .

Since  $X$  is compact and Hausdorff, it is normal, and we can separate  $\phi(x)$  and  $\phi(y)$ : there exist disjoint open subsets  $V_x, V_y \subseteq X$  such that  $\phi(x) \subseteq V_x$  and  $\phi(y) \subseteq V_y$ . Now consider  $q(\psi(V_x))$  and  $q(\psi(V_y))$ . We show that these sets separate  $q(x)$  and  $q(y)$ . Indeed, we have

- $q^{-1}(q(\psi(V_x))) = \psi(V_x)$  by property 4, and  $\psi(V_x)$  is open by (8.2.b). Therefore (by definition of the quotient topology),  $q(\psi(V_x))$  is open. Similarly,  $q(\psi(V_y))$  is open.
- $x \in \psi(V_x)$  since  $\phi(x) \subseteq V_x$  by definition of  $V_x$ . Therefore  $q(x) \in q(\psi(V_x))$ . Similarly,  $q(y) \in q(\psi(V_y))$ .
- $q(\psi(V_x))$  and  $q(\psi(V_y))$  are disjoint: first,  $\psi(V_x)$  and  $\psi(V_y)$  are clearly disjoint since a point  $z$  in their intersection must satisfy  $\phi(z) \subseteq V_x$  and  $\phi(z) \subseteq V_y$ , which is not possible since  $V_x$  and  $V_y$  are disjoint. Then using property 4,  $q^{-1}(q(\psi(V_x)))$  and  $q^{-1}(q(\psi(V_y)))$  are disjoint. Therefore,  $q(\psi(V_x))$  and  $q(\psi(V_y))$  are disjoint (the inverse images are disjoint only if the subsets are disjoint).

Finally,  $q(\psi(V_x))$  and  $q(\psi(V_y))$  are open disjoint subsets that separate  $q(x)$  and  $q(y)$ .

**(8.2.d)** The quotient map  $q$  is closed (maps closed sets to closed sets)

**proof** Let  $A$  be a closed subset of the compact  $X$ . Then  $A$  is compact. And since  $q$  is continuous (by definition of the quotient topology),  $q(A)$  is compact. Finally, since the quotient space  $X/\sim$  is Hausdorff,  $q(A)$  is closed (in a Hausdorff space, compact subsets are closed).

**(8.2.e)** The quotient map is not necessarily open.

**proof** A counter example is given by the set define in (2.f): indeed, consider the subset  $A = [-\pi, 0)$ .  $A$  is an open subset of  $X$  (with respect to the relative topology), but  $q(A)$  is not an open subset of  $X/\sim$ , since  $q^{-1}q(A) = [-\pi, 0) \cup \{\pi\}$ , which is not open in  $X$ .

**(8.2.f)** Let  $X = [-\pi, \pi]$ , and let  $S^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid |x| = 1\}$  be the unit circle. Let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation given by  $R = \{(x, x), x \in [-\pi, \pi]\} \cup \{(-\pi, \pi), (\pi, -\pi)\}$ . Then  $X/\sim$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1$ , and the quotient map can be taken to be

$$p : X \rightarrow S^1 \\ x \mapsto e^{ix}$$

**proof** to show that the quotient space  $X/\sim$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1$ , consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} f : X/\sim &\rightarrow S^1 \\ q(x) &\mapsto e^{ix} \end{aligned}$$

here  $x$  is any representative of the class  $q(x)$ . The function is well defined since if  $x, x'$  are two representatives of the same class, then  $x = x' \pmod{2\pi}$  and  $e^{ix} = e^{ix'}$ .

- The function  $f$  is injective since

$$\begin{aligned} f(q(x)) = f(q(x')) &\Rightarrow e^{ix} = e^{ix'} \\ &\Rightarrow x = x' \pmod{2\pi} \\ &\Rightarrow q(x) = q(x') \end{aligned}$$

- It is surjective since  $\forall y \in S^1$ , there exists  $x \in (-\pi, \pi]$  such that  $y = e^{ix}$ , and we have  $f(q(x)) = e^{ix} = y$ .
- It is continuous: let  $y \in S^1$ , and let  $V = B(y, \epsilon) \cap S^1$  be an open neighborhood of  $y$  (note: any neighborhood of  $y$  contains a neighborhood of this form). Let  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$  such that  $y = e^{ix}$ . Then consider  $U = \{q(x'), x' \in B(x, \eta) \pmod{2\pi}\}$  where  $\eta \in (0, \pi/2)$  satisfies  $2 \sin(\eta/2) < \epsilon$  (this is possible since  $\sin(0) = 0$  and  $\sin$  is continuous).  $U$  is open since  $q^{-1}(U) = B(x, \eta) \pmod{2\pi}$  is open (in the relative topology on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ ). And we have for all  $q(x') \in U$ ,  $x' - x \pmod{2\pi} \leq \eta$ . Let  $\delta = x' - x \pmod{2\pi}$

$$\begin{aligned} |y - f(q(x'))| &= |f(q(x)) - f(q(x'))| \\ &= |e^{ix} - e^{i(x+\delta)}| \\ &= |e^{i(x-\delta/2)}| |e^{i\delta/2} - e^{-i\delta}| \\ &= 2 \sin(\delta/2) \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $f(q(x')) \in V$  for all  $x' \in U$ .

- Its inverse is continuous: let  $q(x) \in X/\sim$ , and let  $U = \{q(x'), x' \in B(x, \eta) \pmod{2\pi}\}$  ( $\eta \in (0, \pi/2)$ ) be an open neighborhood of  $q(x)$  (note: any neighborhood of  $q(x)$  contains a neighborhood of this form). Let  $q(x) = f^{-1}(y)$ , and let  $V = B(y, \epsilon) \cap S^1$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$  is such that  $\epsilon < 2 \sin(\eta/2)$ . Then we have  $V$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$ , and for all  $y' \in V$ , let  $q(x') = f^{-1}(y')$ . Then

$$|f(q(x')) - f(q(x))| < \epsilon$$

i.e.  $2 \sin((x - x' \pmod{2\pi})/2) < \epsilon < 2 \sin(\eta/2)$ , thus  $x - x' \pmod{2\pi} < \eta$ . Therefore  $x' \in U$ , i.e.  $f^{-1}(y') \in U$ , which proves that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.

**(8.3)** An open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a topological space is locally finite if  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $x$  has a neighborhood that intersects only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{U}$ . In particular,  $x$  lies in finitely many members of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a locally finite open cover of a normal space  $X$ . Then there exists a collection of open sets  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_U, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  such that

- $\mathcal{V}$  is open cover of  $X$
- $\text{cl}(V_U) \subseteq U$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$

**proof** Consider  $S$  the set of all functions  $F : \mathcal{U}_F \rightarrow P(X)$  where  $\mathcal{U}_F \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  (the domain of  $F$  is a subfamily of  $\mathcal{U}$ ), that satisfy the following conditions:

- $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}_F$ ,  $F(U)$  is open, and  $\text{cl}(F(U)) \subseteq U$
- $\{F(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}_F} \cup \mathcal{U}_F^c$  (in  $\mathcal{U}_F^c$ , the complement is taken in  $\mathcal{U}$ ) is an open cover of  $X$ , i.e.

$$\left( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_F} F(U) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}_F^c} V \right) = X$$

and equip  $S$  with the inclusion order:  $F_1 \leq F_2$  if and only if  $G_{F_1} \subseteq G_{F_2}$  where  $G_F \subseteq \mathcal{U} \times P(X)$  is the graph of the function  $F$ , i.e.  $G_F = \{(U, F(U)) | U \in \mathcal{U}_F\}$ .

Then we have:

- $S \neq \emptyset$ , since  $S$  contains the empty function.
- Every chain in  $S$  has an upper bound. Indeed: let  $(F_c)_{c \in C}$  be a chain in  $S$ . Define the function  $F : \mathcal{U}_F \rightarrow P(X)$  to be the union of all functions, i.e.:

- The domain of  $F$  is  $\mathcal{U}_F = \bigcup_{c \in C} \mathcal{U}_{F_c}$ . This domain satisfies  $\mathcal{U}_F \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  since  $\forall c, \mathcal{U}_{F_c} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ .
- $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}_F$ , there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{F_c}$ , then define  $F(U)$  to be

$$F(U) = F_c(U)$$

The function  $F$  is well defined, since if there are two elements  $F_{c_1} \leq F_{c_2}$  in the chain such that  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{F_{c_1}}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{F_{c_2}}$ , we must have  $F_{c_1}(U) = F_{c_2}(U)$  since the graph of  $F_{c_1}$  is a subset of the graph of  $F_{c_2}$ .

We have  $F \in S$  since the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}_F$ ,  $F(U)$  is open and  $\text{cl}(F(U)) \subseteq U$ , since  $F(U) = F_c(U)$  for some  $F_c$  in the chain, and  $F_c(U)$  satisfies  $F_c(U)$  open and  $\text{cl}(F_c(U)) \subseteq U$ .
- $\left( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_F} F(U) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}_F^c} V \right) = X$ : indeed, let  $x \in X$ , and assume that  $x \notin \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}_F^c} V$ . Then we show that  $x \in \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_F} F(U)$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a locally finite open cover of  $X$ ,  $x$  lies in finitely many members of  $\mathcal{U}$ , say  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  (where  $n \geq 1$ ). And by assumption on  $x$ ,  $U_i \notin \mathcal{U}_F^c$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , i.e.  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}_F$ .

Now for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , there exists  $c_i \in C$  such that  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}_{F_{c_i}}$ . Consider the subchain  $\{F_{c_i}\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ , and let  $F_m$  be its maximum (the maximum exists since the subchain is finite). For this maximum, we have  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are all elements of the domain  $\mathcal{U}_{F_m}$ , therefore  $x \notin \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}_{F_m}^c} V$ , and  $x$  must lie in  $\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_{F_m}} F_m(U)$ , i.e. there exists  $k$  such that  $x \in F_m(U_k)$ . Finally, since  $F$  is the union of all functions in the chain, we have  $F(U_k) = F_m(U_k)$ , and it follows that  $x \in F(U_k)$ , therefore  $x \in \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_F} F(U)$ , which completes the proof that  $F$  is an upper bound of the chain.

Applying Zorn's lemma to the set  $S$ , there exists a maximal element  $f \in S$ . First, we show that the domain of  $f$  is  $\mathcal{U}$

$$\mathcal{U}_f = \mathcal{U}$$

by contradiction, assume this is not the case, and let  $U_0 \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_f$ . Then we construct a function  $\tilde{f}$  such that  $\tilde{f} > f$ , which contradicts maximality of  $f$ :

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_0 &= \left( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_f} f(U) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U} \setminus (\mathcal{U}_f \cup \{U_0\})} V \right) \\ E &= X \setminus U_0 \\ F &= X \setminus \tilde{U}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \in S$ , we have  $\tilde{U}_0 \cup U_0 = X$ , therefore  $X \setminus \tilde{U}_0 \subseteq U_0$ , i.e.  $F \subseteq U_0$ .

Since  $E$  and  $F$  are closed (complements of open sets), and the space is normal, there exist open disjoint sets  $V_E, V_F \subseteq X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E &\subseteq V_E \\ F &\subseteq V_F \end{aligned}$$

and we have  $V_F \subseteq (V_E)^c$  ( $V_E$  and  $V_F$  are disjoint), thus  $\text{cl}(V_F) \subseteq (V_E)^c$  since  $(V_E)^c$  is closed. But  $(U_0)^c = E \subset V_E$ , thus  $(V_E)^c \subseteq U_0$ . Therefore

$$\text{cl}(V_F) \subseteq U_0$$

Now define  $\tilde{f}$  to be an extension of  $f$ , such that  $\tilde{f}(U_0) = V_F$ . Then we have  $\tilde{f} > f$ , and  $\tilde{f} \in S$  since

- $\tilde{f}(U_0) = V_F$  is open
- $\text{cl}(\tilde{f}(U_0)) = \text{cl}(V_F) \subseteq U_0$
- We have

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \tilde{U}_0 \cup F && \text{by definition of } F \\ &\subseteq \tilde{U}_0 \cup V_F && \text{since } F \subseteq V_F \\ &\subseteq \tilde{U}_0 \cup \tilde{f}(U_0) \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_{\tilde{f}}} \tilde{f}(U) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{U}_f^c} V \right) \end{aligned}$$

which proves that we still have a cover of  $X$ .

This contradicts maximality of  $f$ , and completes the proof.

Using the fact the domain of  $f$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ , we have

$$X = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} f(U)$$

where for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $f(U)$  is open and  $\text{cl}(f(U)) \subseteq U$ . This provides an open cover

$$\{f(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$$

satisfying the required conditions.

**(8.4)** Let  $X$  be a normal topological space, and  $\mathcal{U}$  be a locally finite open cover. Then there exists a family of continuous functions  $\{f_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  such that

- $f_U : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$
- $\forall x \in U^c, f_U(x) = 0$
- $\forall x \in X, \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} f_U(x) = 1$

**proof** First, construct, as in the previous problem, an open cover  $\{V_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  such that  $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $V_U$  is open and  $\text{cl}(V_U) \subseteq U$ . Then for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , consider the closed sets  $\text{cl}(V_U)$  and  $U^c$ . These sets are disjoint since  $\text{cl}(V_U) \subseteq U$ . Thus by Urysohn's Lemma, there exists a continuous function  $h_U : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\forall x \in \text{cl}(V_U), h_U(x) = 1$ , and  $\forall x \in U^c, h_U(x) = 0$ . This defines a family of functions  $\{h_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$ . Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} h : X &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \\ x &\mapsto \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} h_U(x) \end{aligned}$$

this function is well defined since  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite:  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $x$  lies in finitely many members of  $\mathcal{U}$ , thus  $\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} h_U(x)$  is finite.

We also have  $h$  is continuous: let  $x \in X$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite,  $x$  has a neighborhood  $N_0$  that intersects finitely many members of  $\mathcal{U}$ , say  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ . In particular,  $\forall y \in N_0$ ,  $h(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{U_i}(y)$  (all other terms in the sum are zero). For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , since  $h_{U_i}$  is continuous, there exists an open neighborhood  $N_i$  of  $x$  such that  $\forall y \in N_i$ ,  $|h_{U_i}(y) - h_{U_i}(x)| < \epsilon/n$ . Now let  $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ .  $N$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$ , and for all  $y \in N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(y) - h(x)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (h_{U_i}(y) - h_{U_i}(x)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |h_{U_i}(y) - h_{U_i}(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon/n \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $h$  is continuous at  $x$ .

Furthermore, since  $\{V_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is an open cover of  $X$ ,  $h(x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in X$  (indeed, for each  $x$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in V_U$ , and  $h(x) \geq h_U(x) = 1$  since  $h_U$  is, by definition, identically 1 on  $\text{cl}(V_U)$ ). Now for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} f_U : X &\rightarrow [0, 1] \\ x &\mapsto h_U(x)/h(x) \end{aligned}$$

$f_U$  is continuous ( $h_U$  and  $h$  are continuous,  $h$  is positive), and satisfies  $\forall x \in U^c$ ,  $f_U(x) = h_U(x)/h(x) = 0$ . And we have  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} f_U(x) = 1$ .

**(8.5)** Let  $X$  be a set with at least two elements, and that has a total ordering  $\leq$  satisfying

- $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$
- for any  $x, y \in X$ , either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$

Notation:

- Define  $x < y$  iff  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ .
- $\{x | x < a\}$  will be denoted  $(-, a)$
- $\{x | a < x\}$  will be denoted  $(a, +)$
- $\{x | a < x < b\}$  will be denoted  $(a, b)$
- $\{x | a \leq x \leq b\}$  will be denoted  $[a, b]$

Consider the order topology on  $X$ , for which a base is given by  $\{(-, b), b \in X\} \cup \{(a, +), a \in X\} \cup \{(a, b), a, b \in X\}$

Then every open interval  $(a, b)$  is open and every closed interval  $[a, b]$  is closed.

**proof** Every open interval  $(a, b)$  is open by definition. Every closed interval  $[a, b] = \{x | a \leq x \leq b\}$  is the complement of the open subset  $\{x | x < a\} \cup \{x | x > b\}$  (using the fact that  $(a \not\leq b) \Leftrightarrow (b < a)$ <sup>1</sup>) thus is closed.

<sup>1</sup>If  $a \not\leq b$ , since the ordering is total, we must have  $b \leq a$ , and since the ordering is reflexive, we must have  $b \neq a$ , therefore  $b < a$

(8.6)  $X$  equipped with the order topology is Hausdorff and regular.

**proof**  $X$  is **Hausdorff**: let  $x \neq y \in X$ , and assume without loss of generality that  $x < y$ . Then consider the following cases:

1. If there exists  $a$  such that  $x < a < y$ , then the subsets  $U_x = (-, a)$  and  $U_y = (a, -)$  are open (by definition), disjoint, and  $x \in U_x$  and  $y \in U_y$ , thus  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  separate  $x$  and  $y$ .
2. If there exists no  $a$  such that  $x < a < y$ , then the subsets  $U_x = (-, y)$  and  $U_y = (x, -)$  are open (by definition), disjoint (by assumption, there exists no  $a$  such that  $x < a$  and  $a < y$ , i.e. there exists no  $a$  that belongs to both  $U_x$  and  $U_y$ ) and  $x \in U_x$  and  $y \in U_y$  (since  $x < y$ ). Therefore  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  separate  $x$  and  $y$ .

$X$  is **regular**: let  $x \in X$ , and let  $E$  be a closed subset of  $X$  that does not contain  $x$ . Since  $E^c$  is open, it is the union of basic open sets. And since  $x \in E^c$ , there exists a basic open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq E^c$ . Now consider the following cases:

1. If there exist  $a, b \in U$ , such that  $a < x < b$ , then we have  $x \in (a, b)$ , and  $[a, b] \subseteq U \subseteq E^c$ , thus  $E \subseteq [a, b]^c$ .  $(a, b)$  and  $[a, b]^c$  are open, disjoint, and  $x \in (a, b)$  and  $E \subseteq [a, b]^c$ . Therefore  $(a, b)$  and  $[a, b]^c$  separate  $x$  and  $E$ .
2. If there exists  $a \in U$ , such that  $a < x$ , and there exists no  $b \in U$  such that  $x < b$ , then we have  $x \in (a, -)$ , and  $[a, -] \subseteq U \subseteq E^c$ , thus  $E \subseteq [a, -]^c = (-, a)$ . Therefore  $(-, a)$  and  $(a, -)$  are open, disjoint,  $x \in (a, -)$  and  $E \subseteq (-, a)$ , thus  $(a, -)$  and  $(-, a)$  separate  $x$  and  $E$ .
3. If there exists  $b \in U$ , such that  $x < b$ , and there exists no  $a \in U$  such that  $a < x$ , then we have (similarly to the previous case),  $(b, -)$  and  $(-, b)$  separate  $E$  and  $x$ .
4. If there exist no  $a \in U$ , such that  $a < x$  and no  $b \in U$  such that  $x < b$ , then  $U = \{x\}$ , thus  $U$  is open (by definition of  $U$ ) and closed (since  $U = [x, x]$ ). Thus we have  $U$  and  $U^c$  are open, disjoint,  $x \in U$  and  $E \subseteq U^c$ . Therefore  $U$  and  $U^c$  separate  $x$  and  $E$ .